Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials

Fiscal Year 2023

Review of Operations

Transitional Long-term Benefit Adjustment Fund





[DISCLAIMER] When there are any discrepancies between the original Japanese version and the English translation version, the original Japanese version shall prevail.

[Abbreviations]

- Local Public Service Mutual Aid Associations: Collectively means the Mutual Aid Association of Prefectural Government Personnel, the Japan Mutual Aid Association of Public School Teachers, the Japan Police Personnel Mutual Aid Association, the Mutual Benefit Association for Tokyo Metropolitan Government Employees, the National Federation of Mutual Aid Associations for Municipal Personnel and the Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials.
- **Member associations:** Collectively means the Mutual Aid Association of Prefectural Government Personnel, the Japan Mutual Aid Association of Public School Teachers, the Japan Police Personnel Mutual Aid Association, the Mutual Benefit Association for Tokyo Metropolitan Government Employees and the National Federation of Mutual Aid Associations for Municipal Personnel.

National Federation: National Federation of Mutual Aid Associations for Municipal Personnel

Association: Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials

KKR: Federation of National Public Service Personnel Mutual Aid Associations

PMAC: Promotion and Mutual Aid Corporation for Private Schools of Japan

GPIF: Government Pension Investment Fund

EPI Act: Employees' Pension Insurance Act (Act No. 115 of 1954)

- **Unification Act:** Act Partially Amending the Employees' Pension Insurance Act for Integrating the Employees' Pension Systems (Act No. 63 of 2012)
- Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act: Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act (Act No. 152 of 1962)
- Order for Enforcement of Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act: Order for Enforcement of Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act (Cabinet Order No. 352 of 1962)
- Regulation for Enforcement of Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act: Regulation for Enforcement of Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act (Ministerial Order of Ministry of Home Affairs No. 20 of 1962)
- Implementation Procedures for Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act: Implementation Procedures for Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act (Ministerial Order of Prime Minister's Office, Ministry of Education, Science and Culture and Ministry of Home Affairs No. 1 of 1962)


Table of Contents

Fisc	<u>al Year 2023 Investment Results (Overview)</u>	3
<u>Sect</u>	tion 1 Administration and Investment of Funds in FY2023	5
1	Investment results	5
2	Risk management	9
3	Contribution analysis of excess return by asset and status of risk management	12
4	Stewardship activities	16
5	Other major efforts	23
<u>Sect</u>	tion 2 Businesses and Fund Management of Association	27
1	Establishment	27
2	Major operations	28
3	Organization	28
4	Investment of funds	33

Fiscal Year 2023 Investment Results (Overview)







Value of investment assets:

(As of the end of March 2024)

¥17,687.9 billion

(Market value)

As pension investment funds are intended for long-term investment, the investment status must be judged from the long-term perspective.

As investment income is based on the market value as of the end of fiscal year 2023, it should be kept in mind that it includes valuation gains/losses, which means it may change depending on market movements.


Long-Term Status of Investments (last 15 years)

• Cumulative investment income (after deduction of fees, etc.):

¥11,032.1 billion

• The average investment return (after deduction of fees, etc.):

6.32%



<Changes in the real investment return concerning funds>

	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	Average of 15 years
Nominal investment return	7.95%	-0.18%	2.53%	9.80%	8.44%	11.35%	-2.57%	5.58%	7.12%	1.04%	-5.01%	24.44%	5.09%	1.46%	22.07%	6.32%
Nominal wage growth	-4.06%	0.68%	-0.21%	0.21%	0.13%	0.99%	0.50%	0.03%	0.41%	0.95%	0.70%	-0.51%	1.26%	1.67%	1.84%	0.30%
Real investment return	12.52%	-0.85%	2.74%	9.57%	8.30%	10.26%	-3.06%	5.55%	6.68%	0.09%	-5.67%	25.07%	3.78%	-0.20%	19.87%	6.01%

(Note 1) The figures for income in and before the first half of fiscal year 2015 are estimates calculated by multiplying the value of income of the Long-term Benefit Fund in each fiscal year by the proportion of Transitional Long-term Benefit Adjustment Fund at the time of the final allocation. (Note 2) The figures for nominal investment return represent modified total returns (after the deduction of fees, etc.)

(Note 3) The nominal investment return for the whole of fiscal year 2015 cannot be calculated because the integration of employee pension plans was implemented during the year (October 2015), so it was mechanically estimated, as a reference figure, based on the nominal investment returns in the first half (Long-term Benefit Fund) and in the second half (Transitional Long-term Benefit Adjustment Fund).

(Note 4) The figures for the nominal wage growth rate in and prior to FY2014 were cited from the Report on Investment of Investment Funds in FY2014 (September 2015, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare).

(Note 5) The figures for the nominal wage growth rate in fiscal year 2015 and later are those provided by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare for Type 1 insured persons under employees' pension insurance as defined under the EPI Act after the revision made through Act No. 63 of 2012.

Administration and Investment of Funds in FY2023


Investment results

(1) Asset mix

Section 1

The asset mix changed as follows as a result of market value fluctuations and rebalancing, among other factors.

						(Unit: %)
	End of FY2022		Fiscal Y	ear 2023		Benchmark
	End 01 F 12022	End of Q1	End of Q2	End of Q3	End of FY	portfolio
Domestic bonds	24.3	23.9	24.7	24.8	24.5	25.0
Short-term assets	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	25.0
Domestic equities	25.7	25.3	25.3	25.1	25.4	25.0
Foreign bonds	24.6	24.7	24.8	24.9	24.7	25.0
Foreign equities	25.4	26.0	25.1	25.2	25.4	25.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0



(Note 1) Deviation tolerances from the benchmark portfolio are ±10% for domestic bonds, ±12% for domestic equities, ±9% for foreign bonds and ±11% for foreign equities.

(Note 2) Due to rounding, the total sum of individual figures may not necessarily add up to 100%.

(Note 3) Group pure endowment insurance is included in domestic bonds.

(2) Investment return

The time-weighted return came to 22.89% due to factors such as rises of domestic equities.

					(Unit: %
			Fiscal Year 2023		
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Total
Time-weighted return	9.51	-0.27	2.68	9.59	22.89
Domestic bonds	0.46	-2.46	0.88	-0.35	-1.50
Short-term assets	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00
Domestic equities	14.39	2.63	1.98	17.54	40.72
Foreign bonds	7.79	-0.94	2.65	5.41	15.53
Foreign equities	15.35	-0.35	5.16	16.12	40.36
					(Unit: %
			Fiscal Year 2023		
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Total
Modified total returns	9.45	-0.27	2.65	9.45	22.12
					(Unit: %

					(0111. 70)			
		Fiscal Year 2023						
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Total			
Realized return (book value basis)	2.19	2.18	1.51	3.73	9.66			

(Note 1) The return in each quarter is the period rate.

(Note 2) The time-weighted return and modified total return represent the figures before the deduction of fees, etc.

(Note 3) The realized return (book value basis) represents the figure after the deduction of fees, etc.

6

(3) Excess return

The time-weighted return for overall assets was 22.89% and the composite benchmark return for overall assets was 22.63%. The excess return over the composite benchmark return was 0.26%.

	Overall assets		Domestic bonds	Domestic equities	Foreign bonds	Foreign equities
Time-weighted return	22.89%	Time-weighted return	-1.50%	40.72%	15.53%	40.36%
Composite benchmark return	22.63%	Benchmark return	-2.20%	41.34%	15.32%	40.63%
Excess return	0.26%	Excess return	0.70%	-0.62%	0.21%	-0.27%

(Note 1) The time-weighted return represents the figure before the deduction of fees, etc.

Individual asset

factor

2

0.18%

-0.11%

0.04%

-0.05%

0.06%

Asset allocation

factor

1

0.08%

0.05%

-0.00%

0.03%

0.16%

Domestic

bonds Domestic

equities Foreign bonds

Foreign

equities

Total

(Note 2) The composite benchmark return for overall assets is calculated by weight-averaging the benchmark returns for individual asset classes based on the shares in the asset mix of the benchmark portfolio.

By factor, the excess return of 0.26% can be broken down as follows: asset allocation factor: 0.16%; individual asset factor: 0.06%; other factors: 0.04%.

1+2+3

0.26%

-0.05%

0.05%

-0.01%

0.26%

Other factor

(including errors)

0.01%

0.01%

0.01%

0.01%

0.04%

(i) Asset allocation factor: A factor that is attributable to the difference in terms of the asset mix between the benchmark portfolio, which is the standard for the
calculation of the composite benchmark return, and the actual portfolio.

(ii) Individual asset factor: A factor that is attributable to the difference between the actual and benchmark returns concerning each asset class, which may arise depending on the level of investment expertise.

(iii) Other factor (including errors): A factor combining elements of the asset allocation and individual asset factors and calculation errors.

(4) Investment income

					(Unit: JPY100M)
			Fiscal Year 2023		
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Total
Investment income market value basis)	13,829	-431	4,219	15,488	33,106
Domestic bonds	166	-960	347	-142	-589
Short-term assets	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Domestic equities	5,241	1,060	784	6,969	14,054
Foreign bonds	2,822	-368	1,040	2,197	5,691
Foreign equities	5,599	-163	2,049	6,465	13,951

Investment income (market value basis) was ¥3,310.6 billion.

(Unit: JPY100M)

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Total
Realized income (book value basis)	2,702	2,738	1,927	4,855	12,221

(Note 1) The investment income (market value basis) represents the income before the deduction of fees, etc.

(Note 2) The investment income (market value basis) represents the realized income (book value basis) adjusted for the effects of changes in valuation gains/losses based on market value.

(Note 3) Realized income (book value basis) represents the sum of trading profits/losses and interest and dividend income, etc. after the deduction of fees, etc. (Note 4) Due to rounding, the total sum of individual figures may not necessarily add up to the FY total.

(5) Value of assets

Value of investment assets (market value basis) was ¥17,687.9 billion.

														(Ur	nit: JPY100M)	
			<u>_</u>						Fiscal Ye	ear 2023						
	End of FY2022				End of Q1			End of Q2			End of Q3			End of FY		
	Book value	Market value	Valuation gains/losses	Book value	Market value	Valuation gains/losses	Book value	Market value	Valuation gains/losses	Book value	Market value	Valuation gains/losses	Book value	Market value	Valuation gains/losses	
Domestic bonds	36,019	35,421	-598	38,491	38,068	-423	40,526	39,165	-1,361	41,147	40,168	-979	44,575	43,388	-1,188	
Short-term assets	(50)	(50)	(0)	(1)	(1)	(0)	(35)	(35)	(0)	(1)	(1)	(0)	(31)	(31)	(0)	
Domestic equities	29,495	37,524	8,029	28,060	40,200	12,140	27,669	40,110	12,441	28,268	40,561	12,292	27,620	44,935	17,316	
Foreign bonds	36,597	35,939	-657	37,419	39,366	1,947	38,190	39,299	1,108	38,721	40,338	1,617	40,734	43,624	2,889	
Foreign equities	20,788	37,118	16,330	20,453	41,423	20,970	20,099	39,695	19,597	19,867	40,724	20,858	20,033	44,933	24,900	
Total	122,900	146,002	23,103	124,423	159,057	34,634	126,484	158,268	31,785	128,003	161,791	33,789	132,962	176,879	43,917	

Allocation changes of each asset class as a result of rebalancing

				(Unit: JPY100M)
	Domestic bonds	Domestic equities	Foreign bonds	Foreign equities
Amount of funds allocated/withdrawn	8,564	-6,623	2,005	-6,105

(Note) The amount of funds allocated or withdrawn is the amount of cash balance (cash-in and cash-out) other than investment income.

Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials Review of Operations Transitional Long-term Benefit Adjustment Fund

(6) Fees

The amount of fees totaled \$7.0 billion. The fee rate relative to the value of investment assets came to 0.04%.

Regarding entrusted investments, the Association has introduced a fee rate table in which the fee rate declines in proportion to the value of investment assets, and an incentive fee system (the upper limit is set on fee rate) for active investment.

		(Unit: JP Y100M, %)
	Fiscal Ye	ear 2023
	Fee	Fee rate
Domestic bonds	7	0.02
Domestic equities	20	0.05
Foreign bonds	11	0.03
Foreign equities	31	0.08
Overall assets	70	0.04

(I Init:	IPY	100M	%)
U	UTIIL.	JEI	I UUIVI.	. /0/

(Note 1) Fees include management fees and custodian fees related to entrusted investment.

(Note 2) Fee rate = fee amount/month-end market value average balance

2 Risk management

(1) Approach to risk management

Generally speaking, "risk" refers to the "possibility of an incident that could have a negative impact on an organization's goals and objectives." In the field of asset investment, "risk" refers to the range of fluctuations of expected return on investment. Risk in this sense includes not only the possibility of failing to secure the required yield but also the range of fluctuations of return on investment due to various risks, such as interest rate risk, price fluctuation risk, credit risk, and liquidity risk.

Therefore, for asset investment, it is important to consider various risks commensurate with investment from a long-term perspective.

The Association appropriately implements risk management concerning investment in accordance with the "implementation policy for risk management concerning investment of the Transitional Long-term Benefit Adjustment Fund" in consideration of the following points: that investment of funds should be made safely and efficiently from a long-term perspective; that diversified investments should be maintained in principle; that the relationship between all Local Public Service Mutual Aid Associations' liabilities and the funds in the future should be taken into consideration; and the characteristics of a closed pension plan which receives no new contribution income.

Implementation policy for risk management concerning investment of the Transitional Long-term Benefit Adjustment Fund (excerpt)

1 Basic approach concerning risk management

The Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials ("Association") appropriately conducts risk management related to the investment of adjustment funds in light of the following matters.

- (1) Manage adjustment funds safely and efficiently from a long-term perspective.
- (2) Develop a benchmark portfolio based on the principle of managing adjustment funds through appropriate diversification across multiple asset classes with different risk/return profiles and other characteristics (hereinafter referred to as "diversified investment") and manage the funds based thereon.
- (3) In the investment management of adjustment funds, particular attention shall be paid to downside risks in light of the characteristics of a closed pension plan, which receives no new contribution income.
- (4) Manage adjustment funds always in consideration of the relationship between liabilities related to long-term benefit service and the funds in the future.

(2) Risk management efforts

Management of the deviation of the asset mix

Investment based on the benchmark portfolio requires the management of various risk factors. Therefore, in order to secure profits in line with the benchmark portfolio from the long-term perspective, it is important, in particular, to manage the degree of deviation of the asset mix of the actual portfolio from that of the benchmark portfolio.

Specifically, as the asset mix constantly changes due to asset price fluctuations, the Association keeps track of the status of the deviation of the asset mix of its actual portfolio from that of the benchmark portfolio and manages the actual portfolio so as to keep the degree of deviation within a certain range (deviation tolerance) for overall assets. In this way, the Association checks whether or not there are problems such as a deviation from the benchmark portfolio in excess of the deviation tolerance. In addition, flexible investment is made within the deviation tolerance in line with an investment policy established through deliberation at the Fund Management Committee of Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials. In this regard, the Association confirms that the existing deviation is in line with the investment policy.

■Monitoring of market risk, etc.

The Association monitors downside risks by using the value at risk approach, which measures the maximum probable amount of losses, and stress tests, which conduct simulations assuming the application of certain shocks to markets.

As it also uses active investment in its investment of funds, the Association seeks to earn an excess return over the benchmark by diversifying investment strategies and investment issues within each asset class.

Therefore, the Association monitors the status of market risk (price volatility risk, etc. in each asset market), credit risk (default risk), etc. with respect to each asset class, mainly from the viewpoint of difference from the benchmark for each asset class.

Management of entrusted investment management institutions, etc.

The Association manages liquidity risk (risk that purchasing and selling assets will become difficult due to a decline in trading volume) from the viewpoint of revising asset allocations (rebalancing) and smooth conversion of assets into cash. In addition, as the Association entrusts the operation of some investmentrelated activities to external institutions, it monitors the status of management (status of risk management and asset administration) of the institutions to which it entrusts asset management or asset administration (entrusted investment management institutions and asset administration institutions), from the viewpoint of smooth operation by individual institutions.

Reporting on the status of risk management and improvement measures implemented


The Association reports on the status of risk management and improvement measures implemented to the Investment Risk Management Committee, the Fund Management Committee of Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials and the Governing Council.


(3) Status of risk management (overall assets)

The shares in the asset mix concerning all asset classes—domestic bonds, domestic equities, foreign bonds and foreign equities—stayed within the deviation tolerance.

The estimated tracking error concerning overall assets generally stayed stable despite some changes due to the market factor and other factors.

40%	Domestic bonds	40%	Domestic equities			
35% 30% 25% 20%	Upper limit on deviation tolerance (35%) X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	35% 30% 25% 20%	Upper limit on deviation tolerance (37%) Benchmark portfolio (25%) X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X			
15% 10%	Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar	15% 10%	Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar			
40%	Foreign bonds	45%	Foreign equities			
35% 30% 25% 20% 15% 10%	Upper limit on deviation tolerance (34%) X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	40% 35% 25% 20% 15% 10% 5%	Upper limit on deviation tolerance (36%) Benchmark portfolio (25%) \times <t< th=""></t<>			
10%	Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar	5%	Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar			





[Changes in the estimated tracking error concerning overall assets]

(Note) The estimated tracking error concerning overall assets represents the tracking error concerning the benchmark portfolio (composite benchmark).

3 Contribution analysis of excess return by asset and status of risk management

(1) Domestic bonds

Contribution analysis of the excess return

By factor, the excess return of 0.70% on domestic bonds can be broken down as follows: fund factor: 0.73%; benchmark factor: 0.01%; other factors: -0.04%.

Regarding the fund factor, amid the rise in domestic interest rates, funds with a short duration for in-house investment (JFM bonds) made positive contributions.

As a result, the excess return from domestic bonds was positive.

Time-weighted return	Benchmark	Excess Return			
① ② ①-②		Fund factor	Benchmark factor	Other factor	
- 1.50%	- 2.20%	0.70%	0.73%	0.01%	- 0.04%

[Contribution analysis of the excess return by the manager benchmark, etc.]

	Mandatory investment, etc.	Nomura BPI Overall (Enhanced)	Nomura BPI Overall (Active)	NOMURA-BPI CaRD Index	Non-benchmark	Short-term assets	Total
Fund factor	0.63%	0.05%	0.03%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%	0.73%
Benchmark factor		0.00%	0.00%	0.01%			0.01%

(Note 1) The time-weighted return represents the figure before the deduction of fees, etc.

(Note 2) The fund factor is a factor attributable to the difference between the return for an individual fund and the return for the manager benchmark.

The percentage ratio is calculated in consideration of the average total market value of an individual fund.

Concerning in-house investments (JFM bonds), etc. and non-benchmark funds, a factor attributable to the difference between the return for an individual fund and the return for the policy benchmark (NOMURA-BPI Overall) is calculated as the fund factor for reference because the manager benchmark has not been set.

(Note 3) The benchmark factor is a factor attributable to the difference between the return for the manager benchmark and the return for the policy benchmark (NOMURA-BPI Overall).

The percentage ratio is calculated in consideration of the average total market value of an individual fund.

(2) Domestic equities

Contribution analysis of the excess return

By factor, the excess return of -0.62% on domestic equities can be broken down as follows: fund factor: -0.52%; benchmark factor: 0.10%; other factors: -0.20%.

Regarding the fund factor, funds oriented toward growth stocks, such as TOPIX (active) and Russell/Nomura Small Cap Index (Active), made negative contributions.

As for the benchmark factor, indexes such as Russell/Nomura Small Cap Index, which invests in small and medium-capitalization stocks, made negative contributions, but indexes such as MSCI Japan ESG Select Leaders Index, adopted as an ESG fund for passive investment, made positive contributions.

As a result, the excess return from domestic equities was negative.

Time-weighted return	Benchmark	Excess Return			
1	① ② ①-②		Fund factor	Benchmark factor	Other factor
40.72%	41.34%	- 0.62%	- 0.52%	0.10%	- 0.20%

[Contribution analysis of the excess return by the manager benchmark, etc.]

	TOPIX (Passive)	JPX-Nikkei 400 (Passive)	Russell/Nomura Prime (Passive)	MSCI JA PAN ESG Select Leaders Index (Passive)	S&P/JPX Carbon Efficient Index (Passive)	TOPIX (Active)	TOPIX Mid400 (Active)
Fund factor	0.06%	0.00%	0.00%	- 0.00%	0.00%	- 0.41%	- 0.08%
Benchmark factor	0.00%	0.02%	- 0.00%	0.13%	0.03%	0.00%	- 0.03%

	FTSE RAFI Japan 350 QSR (Active)	Russell/Nomura Small Cap Index (Active)	SOMPO Sustainability Index (Active)	Non-benchmark	Total
Fund factor	- 0.00%	- 0.18%	- 0.01%	0.09%	- 0.52%
Benchmark factor	0.06%	- 0.12%	0.01%		0.10%

(Note 1) The time-weighted return represents the figure before the deduction of fees, etc.

(Note 2) The fund factor is a factor attributable to the difference between the return for an individual fund and the return for the manager benchmark.

The percentage ratio is calculated in consideration of the average total market value of an individual fund.

Concerning non-benchmark funds, a factor attributable to the difference between the return for an individual fund and the return for the policy benchmark (TOPIX (including dividends)) was calculated as the fund factor for reference because the manager benchmark has not been set.

(Note 3) The benchmark factor is a factor attributable to the difference between the return for the manager benchmark and the return for the policy benchmark (TOPIX (including dividends)).

The percentage ratio is calculated in consideration of the average total market value of an individual fund.

(3) Foreign bonds

Contribution analysis of the excess return

By factor, the excess return of 0.21% on foreign bonds can be broken down as follows: fund factor:

0.11%; benchmark factor: 0.09%; other factors: 0.01%.

Regarding the fund factor, Bloomberg Global Aggregate Index (Active), which was successful in terms of class-by-class allocation of credit assets and bond selection, made a positive contribution.

Regarding the benchmark factor, Bloomberg Global Aggregate Index, whose duration is shorter than the duration of WGBI and which invests in corporate bonds, made a positive contribution.

As a result, the excess return from foreign bonds was positive.

Time-weighted return	Benchmark	Excess Return				
1	2 <u>1</u> -2		Fund factor	Benchmark factor	Other factor	
15.53%	15.32%	0.21%	0.11%	0.09%	0.01%	

[Contribution analysis of the excess return by the manager benchmark, etc.]

	FTSE World Government Bond Index (Passive)	FTSE World Government Bond Index (Active)	Bloomberg Global Aggregate Index (Active)	Total	
Fund factor	0.05%	- 0.00%	0.06%	0.11%	
Benchmark factor	0.00%	- 0.01%	0.10%	0.09%	

(Note 1) The time-weighted return represents the figure before the deduction of fees, etc.

(Note 2) The fund factor is a factor attributable to the difference between the return for an individual fund and the return for the manager benchmark.

The percentage ratio is calculated in consideration of the average total market value of an individual fund.

(Note 3) The benchmark factor is a factor attributable to the difference between the return for the manager benchmark and the return for the policy benchmark (the FTSE Global Government Bond Index (excluding Japanese and Chinese government bonds; without hedging; on a yen basis).

The percentage ratio is calculated in consideration of the average total market value of an individual fund.

(4) Foreign equities

Contribution analysis of the excess return

By factor, the excess return of -0.27% on foreign equities can be broken down as follows: fund factor: -0.10%; benchmark factor: 0.02%; other factors: -0.18%.

Regarding the fund factor, funds that were successful in terms of stock selection, such as Russell 1000 Value Index (Active) and MSCI KOKUSAI Small Cap Index (Active), made positive contributions, while MSCI KOKUSAI (Active), which is characterized by a low level of volatility, made negative contributions.

Regarding the benchmark factor, MSCI Emerging Markets, which invests in emerging-country equity markets, made a negative contribution, but funds such as MSCI North America, which invests in North American equity markets, made positive contributions.

As a result, the excess return from foreign equities was negative.

Time-weighted return	Benchmark	Excess Return			
1	1 2 1.5000 rotalin 1 2		Fund factor	Benchmark factor	Other factor
40.36%	40.63%	- 0.27%	- 0.10%	0.02%	- 0.18%

[Contribution analysis of the excess return by the manager benchmark, etc.]

	MSCI ACWI (Passive)	MSCI NorthAmerica (Passive)	MSCI Europe and Middle East (Passive)	MSCI Pacific (Passive)	MSCI Emerging Markets (Passive)	MSCI ACWI (Active)	MSCI KOKUSAI (Active)	MSCI Europe (Active)
Fund factor	- 0.07%	- 0.00%	- 0.00%	- 0.00%	- 0.01%	- 0.02%	- 0.20%	- 0.01%
Benchmark factor	0.00%	0.49%	- 0.04%	- 0.11%	- 0.34%	0.00%	0.10%	- 0.17%
	MSCI Europe Value (Active)	MSCI KOKUSAI Small Cap Index (Active)	Russell 1000 Value Index (Active)	Russell 1000 Grow th Index (Active)	S&P 500 Total Return Index (Active)	Total		
Fund factor	- 0.01%	0.10%	0.14%	- 0.01%	- 0.00%	- 0.10%		
Benchmark factor	- 0.08%	- 0.08%	- 0.05%	0.16%	0.13%	0.02%		

(Note 1) The time-weighted return represents the figure before the deduction of fees, etc.

(Note 2) The fund factor is a factor attributable to the difference between the return for an individual fund and the return for the manager benchmark.

The percentage ratio is calculated in consideration of the average total market value of an individual fund.

(Note 3) The benchmark factor is a factor attributable to the difference between the return for the manager benchmark and the return for the policy benchmark (MSCI-ACWI).

The percentage ratio is calculated in consideration of the average total market value of an individual fund.

4 Stewardship activities

(1) Overview of the Association's stewardship activity


"Stewardship activities" refers to the activities of institutional investors to increase medium- to long-term investment returns for their clients and beneficiaries by encouraging improvements in corporate value and sustainable growth of investee companies through means such as the exercise of shareholders' voting rights and engagement (i.e. constructive "purposeful dialogue" based on a deep understanding concerning the investee companies, their business environment, and other factors as well as consideration for their sustainability according to the investment strategy).

The Association is required to fulfill its fiduciary responsibility of increasing the value of its assets for the members over the long term and social responsibility as a public pension fund. In this connection, the Association has been proactively committed to effective stewardship activities.

In addition, the Association entrusts investment management institutions to make investments in companies. The Association believes that conducting the stewardship activities through these entrusted investment management institutions is effective for fulfilling the stewardship responsibility as they have more opportunities to access investee companies and have in-depth insight on corporate management.

Therefore, the Association requires entrusted investment management institutions to conduct stewardship activity in accordance with the "Corporate Governance Principles of Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials" (hereinafter referred to as the "Corporate Governance Principles") and other guidelines set by the Association and strives to keep track of the status of stewardship activity and enhance the effectiveness by monitoring individual entrusted investment management institutions' efforts.

In addition, the Association prepares Annual Stewardship Activity Reports containing information learned through the monitoring of entrusted investment management institutions and makes them public on its website.



[Stewardship Activities]

Prepared based on the documents distributed at the 1st meeting (Jan. 2017) of the Council of Experts on the Stewardship Code (Financial Services Agency)

Formulation of policies concerning stewardship activity

With regard to policies concerning stewardship activity, the Association established the Corporate Governance Principles and the "Guidelines for Exercising Shareholders' Voting Rights (Domestic Equities)" in April 2004 and the "Guidelines for Exercising Shareholders' Voting Rights (Foreign Equities)" in April 2016.

In addition, the "Basic Policy for the Transitional Long-term Benefit (TLTB) Adjustment Fund" specify how the Association should fulfill its stewardship responsibilities.

Moreover, in May 2014, the Association signed up to Japan's Stewardship Code and clarified its approach to stewardship activities.

The Association clearly indicates these policies to entrusted investment management institutions when concluding contracts with them and requires them to conduct stewardship activity in compliance with these policies.

Expansion of the scope of assets covered by stewardship activities

In light of the revision of Japan's Stewardship Code in March 2020, the Association signed up to the revised Japan's Stewardship Code in September of the same year, and expressed an intention to "consider the principles that can be applied to assets other than listed domestic equities" and to "implement necessary initiatives to the extent possible" in its revised Signup to Japan's Stewardship Code.

The Association has already been monitoring stewardship activity related to foreign equities since FY2017, and in FY2023, it started monitoring stewardship activity related to bonds as well.

Participation in initiatives

In June 2021, the Association announced support for the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD).

The Association signed the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) in May 2024.

- (Note 1) Stewardship responsibility: The responsibility for increasing medium- and long-term investment returns for beneficiaries by promoting a rise in the corporate value of investee companies and their sustainable growth through constructive and "purposeful dialogue" (engagement) based on the deep understanding of the companies and their business environments and the considerations for sustainability (medium- to long-term sustainability including ESG factors) that are made in accordance with investment strategy.
- (Note 2) TCFD: Established by the Financial Stability Board (FSB) upon a request from the G20 finance ministers and central bank governors. In June 2017, the TCFD published non-binding recommendations that encourage information disclosure with respect to the financial impacts of climate change-related risks and opportunities in order to enable investors to make appropriate investment decisions. The task force was dissolved in October 2023, with its function of monitoring the progress made in implementing the recommendations transferred to the IFRS Foundation (a private-sector, non-profit organization responsible for developing international financial reporting standards).
- (Note 3) PRI: International principles that require institutional investors to give consideration to ESG (environmental, social, and governance) challenges in their investment activities.

(2) Monitoring of entrusted investment management institutions

For each fiscal year, the Association has been monitoring the stewardship activities of the entrusted investment management institutions by receiving reports concerning stewardship activity and holding interviews so as to ensure compliance with the Association's policies.

In its monitoring activity, while the Association does look at such formal aspects as entrusted investment management institutions' frameworks for conducting stewardship activities, it focuses on the "quality" of those activities. That is, the Association examines entrusted investment management institutions' approaches to stewardship activity and their specific activities in order to check whether the activities are based on the deep understanding of investee companies and their business environments and the considerations for sustainability (medium- to long-term sustainability including ESG factors) that are made in accordance with investment strategy.

In FY2023, the Association started monitoring entrusted investment management institutions' stewardship activities related to bonds as well.

Activities in FY2023

In May 2023, the Association held briefing meetings on the direction of the Association's stewardship activity for entrusted investment management institutions in FY2023 and explained the matters which the Association considers important in relation to stewardship activities.

From May to July, the Association provided feedback regarding its evaluation of stewardship activities in FY2022 individually to investment management institutions entrusted with equity investment ("equity managers") that requested it.

In July, the Association requested investment management institutions entrusted with equity investment (14 companies for domestic equities and 15 companies for foreign equities) to submit reports on their initiatives to be evaluated by the Association in FY2023 with respect to their stewardship activities (i.e., policies, structures, processes for and results of stewardship activities). The Association also requested investment management institutions entrusted with bond investment (11 companies for domestic bonds and 13 companies for foreign bonds) to submit reports on their initiatives (i.e., policies, structures and processes for stewardship activities).

Based on the reports submitted, the Association held interviews with the equity managers from around October to November focused on the matters which the Association considers important in relation to stewardship activities.

Considering the results of a review of the reports and interviews, the Association conducted the evaluation of stewardship activities of the entrusted investment management institutions.

Matters which the Association considers important in relation to stewardship activities

[Engagement]

- (i) Engagement aimed at enhancing the corporate value and sustainable growth of companies
- (ii) Quality of engagement
- (iii) Effectiveness of processes (e.g., PDCA cycle)

[Exercise of voting rights]

- (i) Compliance with the Association's guidelines for exercising shareholders' voting rights
- (ii) Exercise of voting rights suited to the circumstances of companies
- (iii) Exercise of voting rights and engagement in an integrated manner

(3) Results of the exercise of voting rights (domestic equities)


The Association exercised voting rights through 14 investment management institutions entrusted with domestic equity investment (a total of 31 funds) at a general meeting of shareholders of a total of 14,213 companies held between July 2022 and June 2023. The number of proposals for which voting rights were exercised was 48,501.

Of the 48,501 proposals, 11,467 (including 2,403 shareholder proposals) were voted against, translating into a vote-against rate of 23.6%. The vote-against rate concerning company proposals was 19.8%.

The vote-against rate came to 35.2% concerning proposals related to the board of directors/directors, 14.7% concerning proposals related to the board of auditors/auditors and 18.3% concerning proposals related to director remuneration, etc.

anu Jun	E 2023.	,				
Voto for		Vote				Total
vole ioi	Rate	against	Rate	Absteritions	Rate	TOLAI
36,767	80.2%	9,064	19.8%	0	0.0%	45,831
267	10.0%	2,403	90.0%	0	0.0%	2,670
37,034	76.4%	11,467	23.6%	0	0.0%	48,501
Vote for	D (Vote	D /	Abstentions	D (Total
12,288	64.8%	6,667	35.2%	0	0.0%	18,955
6,721	85.3%	1,161	14.7%	0	0.0%	7,882
3,011	81.7%	674	18.3%	0	0.0%	3,685
9,086	95.7%	411	4.3%	0	0.0%	9,497
244	29.4%	585	70.6%	0	0.0%	829
23	5.3%	413	94.7%	0	0.0%	436
62	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	62
26	81.3%	6	18.8%	0	0.0%	32
33	16.7%	165	83.3%	0	0.0%	198
197	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	197
1,017	85.6%	171	14.4%	0	0.0%	1,188
4,470	71.3%	1,798	28.7%	0	0.0%	6,268
37,034	76.4%	11,467	23.6%	0	0.0%	48,501
55	28.9%	135	71.1%	0	0.0%	190
	Vote for 36,767 267 37,034 Vote for 12,288 6,721 3,011 9,086 244 23 62 26 33 197 1,017 4,470 37,034	Vote for Rate 36,767 80.2% 267 10.0% 37,034 76.4% Vote for Rate 12,288 64.8% 6,721 85.3% 3,011 81.7% 9,086 95.7% 244 29.4% 23 5.3% 662 100.0% 233 16.7% 197 100.0% 1,017 85.6% 4,470 71.3% 37,034 76.4%	Vote for Rate against 36,767 80.2% 9,064 267 10.0% 2,403 37,034 76.4% 11,467 Vote for Rate against 12,288 64.8% 6,667 6,721 85.3% 1,161 3,011 81.7% 674 9,086 95.7% 411 244 29.4% 585 23 5.3% 413 62 100.0% 0 266 81.3% 66 33 16.7% 165 197 100.0% 0 1,017 85.6% 171 4,470 71.3% 1,798 37,034 76.4% 11,467	Vote for Rate Vote against Rate 36,767 80.2% 9,064 19.8% 267 10.0% 2,403 90.0% 37,034 76.4% 11,467 23.6% Vote for Rate against Rate 12,288 64.8% 6,667 35.2% 6,721 85.3% 1,161 14.7% 3,011 81.7% 674 18.3% 9,086 95.7% 411 4.3% 244 29.4% 585 70.6% 223 5.3% 413 94.7% 662 100.0% 0 0.0% 226 81.3% 6 18.8% 33 16.7% 165 83.3% 197 100.0% 0 0.0% 1,017 85.6% 171 14.4% 4,470 71.3% 1,798 28.7% 37,034 76.4% 11,467 23.6%	Vote for Rate against Rate Abstentions $36,767$ 80.2% $9,064$ 19.8% 0 267 10.0% $2,403$ 90.0% 0 $37,034$ 76.4% $11,467$ 23.6% 0 $Vote for Rate against Rate Abstentions 12,288 64.8\% 6,667 35.2\% 0 6,721 85.3\% 1,161 14.7\% 0 3,011 81.7\% 674 18.3\% 0 9,086 95.7\% 411 4.3\% 0 9,086 95.7\% 411 4.3\% 0 244 29.4\% 585 70.6\% 0 243 5.3\% 413 94.7\% 0 243 5.3\% 413 94.7\% 0 233 16.7\% 165 83.3\% 0 100.0\% 0 0.0\% 0$	Vote for Rate against against Rate Abstentions Rate $36,767$ 80.2% $9,064$ 19.8% 0 0.0% 267 10.0% $2,403$ 90.0% 0 0.0% $37,034$ 76.4% $11,467$ 23.6% 0 0.0% $37,034$ 76.4% $11,467$ 23.6% 0 0.0% Vote for Rate against Rate Abstentions Rate $12,288$ 64.8% $6,667$ 35.2% 0 0.0% $6,721$ 85.3% $1,161$ 14.7% 0 0.0% $3,011$ 81.7% 674 18.3% 0 0.0% $9,086$ 95.7% 411 4.3% 0 0.0% 244 29.4% 585 70.6% 0 0.0% 223 5.3% 413 94.7% 0 0.0% 244 29.4% 585 70.6% 0 <t< td=""></t<>

Voting activity (The figures are based on the proposals submitted to general meetings of shareholders held between July 2022 and June 2023.)



(4) Results of the exercise of voting rights (foreign equities)

The Association exercised voting rights through 15 investment management institutions entrusted with foreign equity investment (a total of 23 funds) at a general meeting of shareholders of a total of 9,853 companies held between July 2022 and June 2023. The number of proposals for which voting rights were exercised was 97,332.


Of the 97,332 proposals, 14,547 (including 2,894 shareholder proposals) were voted against, translating into a vote-against rate of 14.9%. The vote-against rate concerning company proposals was 12.8%.

The vote-against rate came to 12.6% concerning proposals related to the election of directors, etc. and 12.0% concerning proposals related to director remuneration, etc.

Voting activity (The figures are based on the proposals submitted to general meetings of shareholders held between July 2022 and June 2023.)

	Mate for		Vote		Abotations		Tetel
Number of proposals by type of proposer	Vote for	Rate	against	Rate	Abstentions	Rate	Total
Company proposal		87.1%	11,653	12.8%	132	0.1%	91,093
Shareholder proposal		52.7%	2,894	46.4%	54	0.9%	6,239
Total	82,599	84.9%	14,547	14.9%	186	0.2%	97,332
Number of proposals by type of proposal	Vote for	Rate	Vote against	Rate	Abstentions	Rate	Total
Proposal for election of directors, etc.	15,272	87.1%	2,217	12.6%	53	0.3%	17,542
Director remuneration, etc.	11,235	87.8%	1,533	12.0%	28	0.2%	12,796
Appropriation of surplus	4,361	99.4%	22	0.5%	6	0.1%	4,389
Capital structure	13,694	88.4%	1,784	11.5%	13	0.1%	15,491
Takeover defense measures	471	95.0%	24	4.8%	1	0.2%	496
Capital increase or reduction	5,368	83.4%	1,055	16.4%	12	0.2%	6,435
Third party allotment of shares	1,787	95.8%	79	4.2%	0	0.0%	1,866
Acquisition of own shares	2,801	98.2%	52	1.8%	0	0.0%	2,853
Business restructure	3,783	77.9%	1,074	22.1%	0	0.0%	4,857
Incentives improvement for executives	1,974	61.9%	1,208	37.9%	8	0.3%	3,190
Other proposals	32,280	82.6%	6,709	17.2%	78	0.2%	39,067
Total	82,599	84.9%	14,547	14.9%	186	0.2%	97,332
Climate-related proposals		38.0%	409	60.1%	13	1.9%	681


Share by proposal subject



- * From the viewpoints of constraints related to the exercise of voting rights and additional cost burden, the exercise of voting rights is limited to 17 countries and regions (the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, Ireland, Australia, New Zealand, Singapore, Hong Kong, the Czech Republic, Indonesia, Mexico, the Philippines, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, Pakistan and China A Stock).
- * As of November 2022, the number of countries to which the exercise of voting rights is applicable was changed from 18 countries and regions to 17 countries and regions due to the exclusion of Chile.

(5) Results of engagement activity (domestic equities)

During the period from July 2022 to June 2023, the Association conducted engagement with around 41% of the companies whose shares it owned as of the end of June 2023, and the combined market capitalization of the 41% accounted for around 89% of the total market capitalization of the companies whose shares it owned.




Status of Engagement by Equity Managers (July 2022 to June 2023)

(6) Collaboration with other public pension funds, etc.

In order to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of stewardship activity, the Association holds meetings on stewardship activity on an as-needed basis with member associations and uses common forms of reports concerning stewardship activity to be submitted by entrusted investment management institutions.

In addition, the Association is cooperating with other public pension funds, such as GPIF, as necessary, while exchanging opinions on the ideal ways of stewardship activity and other matters.



[Collaboration with other public pension funds, etc.]

(7) Future initiatives

The Association will continue to actively conduct stewardship activity in order to simultaneously fulfill the fiduciary and social responsibilities.

Implementation of effective monitoring of entrusted investment management institutions

The Association will continue to make sure that entrusted investment management institutions' stewardship activity is consistent with the Association's policy and will conduct monitoring with emphasis placed on the "quality" of initiatives.

Dialogue with entrusted investment management institutions and accumulation of knowledge within the Association

From the viewpoint of continuously enhancing the effectiveness of stewardship activity, the Association will conduct dialogue with entrusted investment management institutions about matters of priority.

The Association will also accumulate knowledge concerning stewardship activity through such means as monitoring of and dialogue with entrusted investment management institutions in order to improve assessment and monitoring methods.

Promotion of investment taking into consideration non-financial factors

From the viewpoint of securing long-term profit for the sake of members' interests, the Association will also continue to conduct necessary activities after considering promoting investment taking into consideration non-financial factors, including ESG factors, in addition to financial factors. In addition, the Association will continue to check whether the activities of investment management institutions entrusted with equity investment take sustainability into consideration when monitoring their stewardship activities.

■Revision of the Corporate Governance Principles, etc.

The Association will revise the "Corporate Governance Principles," the "Guidelines for Exercising Shareholders' Voting Rights" (Domestic Equities/Foreign Equities) and the Signup to Japan's Stewardship Code as necessary while taking into consideration revisions of laws, regulations and codes and changes in the social situation.

Collaboration with other public pension funds, etc.

As part of its efforts to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of stewardship activity, the Association will also exchange opinions with its member associations and other public pension funds, among other activities.



(1) ESG Investment

Basic approach to ESG investment

As the Association invests pension funds over the long term, it is rational to aim to maximize the long-term return by paying attention not only to short-term business performance when making investment but also to factors related to sustainability, including ESG.

With respect to ESG investment, the Association revised its policies including the "Basic Policy for the Transitional Long-term Benefit (TLTB) Adjustment Fund" in line with the revised "Basic Policy for Ensuring Safe and Efficient Management and Investment of Funds from Long-term Perspective." The Association's basic policy revised in FY2020 provides: the necessary initiatives shall be implemented, based on a case-by-case analysis, with a view to promoting investment considering non-financial elements including ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) in addition to financial elements based on the approach that the sustainable growth of investee companies and the entire market is necessary for the increase of return on investment portfolio over long time so as to secure long-term return for the benefit of members.

The Association had taken necessary measures for ESG investment based on case-by-case analysis, even before the Basic Policies were revised to incorporate provisions concerning investment considering nonfinancial factors.

Initiatives concerning ESG Investment

· Consideration of the ESG factors for funds managed by entrusted institutions

The Association evaluates the status of consideration of the ESG factors in the processes of annual comprehensive evaluation of funds managed by entrusted institutions and selection of new funds.

It also requests equity managers to take sustainability (medium- to long-term sustainability including ESG factors) into consideration when conducting engagement activity or exercising voting rights as part of stewardship activity and checks the status of those activities.

· Investment in ESG funds as part of equity investment

The Association has started investing in ESG funds in FY2009 with respect to active investment in domestic equities. Subsequently, the Association gradually increased the number of adopted funds and the amount of investment.

In FY2020, the Association started investing in ESG funds as part of passive investment in domestic equities, and in FY2022, as part of active investment in foreign equities.

The Association has adopted six ESG funds concerning domestic equities as of the end of FY2023 (four funds for active investment and two funds for passive investment), with a total value (market value) of 666.6 billion yen (approximately 14.8% of the outstanding balance of domestic stocks in the Association's portfolio), and two ESG funds concerning foreign equities (two funds for active investment), with a total value (market value) of 76.6 billion yen (approximately 1.7% of the outstanding balance of foreign stocks in the Association's portfolio).

By adopting ESG funds for active investment, the Association seeks to earn an excess return while fully taking into consideration ESG factors in the investment process. Regarding the ESG funds for passive investment, the Association expects that its investment in ESG funds (ESG indexes) will attract attention to ESG indexes and encourage a wide range of companies to conduct activities to correct ESG-related problems with the aim of increasing corporate value, thereby boosting the overall value of the whole of the domestic stock market.

· Investment in ESG bonds as part of bond investment


The Association started investing in products considering ESG factors as part of in-house investment in domestic bonds in FY2019. For the time being, the Association intends to make investment mainly in ESG bonds issued by local governments and institutions funded by a fiscal investment and loan program. As of the end of FY2023, the total value (book value) is 14.7 billion yen (4.7 billion yen for local government bonds and 10 billion yen for bonds issued by institutions funded by a fiscal investment and loan program).

(2) Selection and management of entrusted investment management institutions, etc. (traditional assets)

■Approach to selection

In accordance with the criteria prescribed by policies including the "Basic Policy for the Transitional Long-Term Benefits (TLTB) Adjustment Fund," the Association makes the selection by comprehensively taking account of the diversification of investment styles across its entire portfolio and other factors based on the benchmark portfolio, after conducting the evaluation of the stability of the applicant institutions' management conditions (capital amount, financial position, number of employees, customer base, etc.) and the qualitative evaluation of the applicant institutions' investment philosophy, investment methodology, investment structure, compliance system, consideration of ESG factors, etc.

In light of the purpose of the selection, the Association also conducts the evaluation as to whether or not the applicant institutions have achieved good investment performance over a period of time longer than the prescribed duration and the evaluation as to whether the proposed investment funds meet the needs and whether a good return can be expected based on comparison of investment methodologies and analysis of the risk profiles, levels and trends using long-term risk data concerning the portfolio (various factors).



■Asset Manager Registration System

The Association introduced the Asset Manager Registration System, which started in fiscal year 2015 with respect to alternative investments, also with respect to traditional assets in fiscal year 2016, and has started accepting registrations with respect to all asset classes.

Selection of entrusted investment management institutions

In fiscal year 2023, the Association newly adopted two funds investing in growth stocks concerning foreign equities through the Asset Manager Registration System.

Administration and evaluation of entrusted investment management institutions

The Association seeks monthly reports on the investment status and quarterly reports on the overview of investment results, future investment policy and other matters from entrusted investment management institutions. After reviewing these reports, the Association conducts detailed interviews annually concerning the overview of investment results, future investment policy and other matters and interviews through visits to entrusted investment management institutions.

Furthermore, the Association annually conducts a comprehensive evaluation combining quantitative and qualitative evaluation by fund.

Qualitative evaluation is intended to evaluate the current and future status of the fulfilling of the expected role from a long-term perspective and to examine such factors as "whether the fund is expected to deliver an excess return in a stable manner" and "whether the investment process which represents the advantage of the fund in earning an excess return has been sufficiently functioning in light of the market environment" and "whether the process will continue to function in the future." The focus of attention in the analysis includes investment structure (investment experience, staffing strength and stability of the employee turnover), the investment process (whether the process is functioning as proclaimed and presence or absence of reproductivity, reasonableness and flexibility), and investment considering ESG elements.

Quantitative evaluation assesses such factors as the excess return after deduction of fees and the information ratio (the tracking error in the case of passive investment).

The Association allocates funds in a consistent manner across the entire portfolio in consideration of not only the results of comprehensive evaluation but also the balance of strategy categories in each asset class (e.g., domestic equity market type and foreign equity growth type) and the balance of funds in each category.


In fiscal year 2023, the Association cancelled active investment funds that did not perform well, namely, one fund investing in domestic bonds, two funds investing in domestic equities, and two funds investing in foreign equities.

Administration and evaluation of asset administration institutions

The Association conducts annual inspection of asset administration institutions to review their status of management and other factors.

The Association also performs a qualitative assessment from time to time to check whether their status of asset administration and framework for ensuring compliance with laws and regulations are appropriate.

[Relationships with entrusted investment management institutions and asset administration institutions]



Section 2

Businesses and Fund Management of Association


Establishment

Article 43 of the Local Public Service Act provides "A mutual aid system shall be implemented in order to provide appropriate benefits in cases of employees' illness, injury, childbirth, involuntary leave, calamity, retirement, disability or death, or their dependents' illness, injury, child birth, death or calamity." Based on this provision, the Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act has been enacted.

The pension fund system for local government officials was established in December 1962 as a system to comprehensively manage the long-term benefits program, short-term benefits program and welfare services program for local government employees and their families, for the purpose of providing mutual aid for local government employees.

The Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials was established on April 1, 1984, in order to stabilize the foundation of pension finance by integrating the pension funding units and to ensure appropriate and smooth management of operations related to long-term benefits of member associations so that sound management of the pension system can be maintained. The Japan Mutual Aid Association of Public School Teachers and the Japan Police Personnel Mutual Aid Association became members in April 1990, and the Association has become a federation comprising all member associations.

Outline of Local Public Service Mutual Aid Associations



2 Major operations

The Association conducts the following operations in order to maintain sound management of pension systems related to local government officials.

- · Administration and investment of funds
- · Investment of surplus funds entrusted by member associations
- Provision of technical and professional knowledge and reference materials related to operations concerning long-term benefits of member associations
- Exchange of information and communication and coordination with the implementing organizations prescribed under the EPI Act
- Matters concerning contributions and grants related to employees' pension insurance. Payment of National Pension contributions.
- Calculation of the following items related to retirement and other pension benefits: the entitlement rate, the standard interest rate, the present value rates of lifetime pensions and fixed-term pensions, and the ratio of the standard monthly remuneration to premiums and the ratio of the standard term-end allowances, etc. to premiums
- Handling of matters related to payment to and receipt from the KKR of fiscal adjustment contributions
- Exchange of information related to special levies of nursing care insurance premiums, etc. from pension benefits
- · Businesses related to sharing of pension information under the "My Number" system
- Operations intended to achieve other objectives (e.g., administrative works related to the aggregation of pension coverage periods in Japan and other countries)

3 Organization

(1) Governing Council

Pursuant to the provisions of the Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act, the Association is required to establish a Governing Council. The Minister for Internal Affairs and Communications must appoint members from among individuals who possess broad knowledge concerning matters pertaining to the operations of the Local Public Service Mutual Aid Associations. In this case, half of all members must be representatives of members of individual mutual aid associations.

Revision of the articles of incorporation, the formulation and revision of the rules of operations, annual business plans, budgets and account settlement, disposal of important assets and assumption of significant debt are subject to deliberation by the Governing Council.

Meanwhile, the Governing Council is empowered to investigate and deliberate important matters pertaining to the operations of the Association upon request from the President and to present proposals to the President with respect to the matters for which the need to do so is recognized.

(2) Fund Management Committee of Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials

Outline of Fund Management Committee of Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials

To study expert matters pertaining to the administration and investment of adjustment funds based on the "Basic Policy for the Transitional Long-term Benefit (TLTB) Adjustment Fund," the Association has established the Fund Management Committee of Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials, which is comprised of individuals who possess academic knowledge or practical experience in areas such as economics, finance, and fund management (the "Fund Management Committee").

The Fund Management Committee can discuss and receive reports concerning expert matters related to the administration and investment of each adjustment fund and also can express its opinions on important matters upon request from the President.

List of Fund Management Committee Members (As of April 1, 2024)

Hidetaka Kawakita	Kyoto University, Professor Emeritus
Konosuke Kita	Russell Investments Japan Co., Ltd.
	Director, Consulting/Executive Consultant
Hisae Sato	Council Member, International Christian University
Yoshiko Takayama	J-Eurus IR Co., Ltd.
	Vice Chairperson
Hitoshi Takehara	Professor, Waseda Business School (Graduate School of Business
	and Finance)
Katsuyuki Tokushima	NLI Research Institute
	Director, Member of the Board
	Head of Pension Research, CMA
	Financial Research Department
Chairperson Takaaki Wakasugi	The University of Tokyo, Professor Emeritus
	Chairman, Japan Corporate Governance Research Institute

■Past Meetings	of the Fund	Management	Committee
		managomon	0011111111000

Meeting number	Meeting date	Main theme
44th Meeting	June 27, 2023	 Review of Operations reports concerning administration and investment of individual funds in FY2022 Status of risk management of individual funds in FY2022 Examination of the benchmark portfolio of the Annuity Retirement Benefit Adjustment Fund Review of investment of funds in FY2022 and status of asset allocation for FY2023 (April and May)
45th Meeting	January 23, 2024	 Status of investment of individual funds in the second quarter of FY2023 Status of risk management of individual funds in the second quarter of FY2023 Review of FY2023 Review of the benchmark portfolio of the Annuity Retirement Benefit Adjustment Fund Result of the selection of active investment products for foreign equities Review of investments in FY2023 (asset allocation)
46th Meeting	March 26, 2024	 Status of investment of individual funds in the third quarter of FY2023 Status of risk management of individual funds in the third quarter of FY2023 Status of FY2023 Examination of the benchmark portfolio of the Employees' Pension Insurance Benefit Adjustment Fund Examination of the benchmark portfolio of the Transitional Long-term Benefit Adjustment Fund Status of investment in alternative assets Annual Stewardship Activity Report FY2023 Review of investments in FY2023, and investment policy in FY2024

(3) Executives and Secretariat

Executives


The Association is required to appoint the President, eight executive directors and three auditors. The President, two of the executive directors, and one of the auditors must serve on a full-time basis.

■Secretariat

The Association's Secretariat is comprised of three departments, 12 divisions (there is also a system manager outside the divisions), and one office.

As of April 1, 2024, the prescribed number of employees at the Secretariat is 81.

(Note) On April 1, 2024, the Alternative Investment Division was newly created within the Fund Management Department. Fund Management Division 1 and Fund Management Division 2 were renamed as the In-house Investment Division and the Entrusted Investment Division, respectively.



(4) Meetings

Asset Management Committee

The Association has established the Asset Management Committee, which is chaired by a full-time executive director responsible for fund management, in order to conduct preliminary deliberation when the President makes important decisions concerning the management of status of investment of funds of member associations as well as the management and investment of funds by the Association.

In principle, the Committee shall hold a monthly meeting and shall also meet as necessary.

The chairperson shall promptly report to the President on the status of discussions at the Committee.


Investment Risk Management Committee

The Association has established the Investment Risk Management Committee, which is chaired by the President, in order to deliberate matters pertaining to the risk management of investment of funds so that the risk management can be appropriately conducted.

Unlike the Asset Management Committee, this committee is under the direct control of the President in order to ensure control over investment sections. In principle, the Investment Risk Management Committee shall hold a regular quarterly meeting and shall also meet as necessary.

Pension Fund Association for Local Government Officials Review of Operations Transitional Long-term Benefit Adjustment Fund

(5) Governance structure






(1) Mechanisms

The Association formulates Administration and Investment Policy (including the Portfolio at Local Public Service Mutual Aid Associations), which serves as the common policy for administration and investment organizations (the Local Public Service Mutual Aid Associations) subject to approval from the Minister for Internal Affairs and Communications. (Article 112-10 of the Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act applied mutatis mutandis pursuant to Article 75-3 of the Unification Act Supplementary Provisions)

A Basic Policy (including the benchmark portfolio) pertaining to administration and investment of funds is formulated by the administration and investment organizations so as to conform to the Administration and Investment Policy set by the Association. (Article 112-11 of the Local Public Officers, etc. Mutual Aid Association Act applied mutatis mutandis pursuant to Article 75-3 of the Unification Act Supplementary Provisions)



[Mechanism of fund investment after the integration]

(2) Basic approach to investment

■Basic policy

As a basic policy, investment shall be made for the purpose of contributing to the stable management of the Transitional Long-term Benefit scheme operations. In the investment management, particular attention shall be paid to downside risks and constant consideration shall be given to the relationship between future liabilities and the funds in light of the characteristics of a closed pension plan, which receives no new contribution income.

In the management of funds, investments shall be made in a way that ensures appropriate diversification across multiple assets with different risk/return profiles and other characteristics.

Moreover, for the investment of the Transitional Long-term Benefit Adjustment Fund, which has the characteristics of a closed pension plan, the benchmark portfolio shall be determined and appropriately managed so as to secure the required return on investment of the funds at the minimum risk, in due consideration of the relationship between future liabilities and the funds. In addition, efforts shall be made to secure the benchmark return for overall assets and each asset class in each fiscal year as well as over the long term.